A Proposal on the Lecturer Situation

Over the last decade, the structure and direction of the English Department at the University of Texas at Austin have been substantially altered by drops in graduate enrollment, increases in lower-division teaching responsibility, declines in the number of tenure-track lines, and substantial increases in the number of temporary faculty employed to meet regular departmental commitments--most notably the teaching of lower division writing and literature courses. In many cases, the department and college reacted to enrollment and staffing crises with stoppap measures that soon became permanent departmental fixtures.

New admission standards instituted in 1982-83 promise a more stable future. So it seems appropriate, in this Centennial year, for the English Department to evaluate its current staffing situation and to suggest measures that will enable it over the next decade to attain the very highest standards of undergraduate English instruction, to maintain the integrity of its graduate program, to promote research and scholarship of the first rank, and to grant all of its faculty members the assurance of security and freedom essential to their academic missions. In the same year that it begins to offer a new undergraduate program to the university, the English Department must also seek a new direction for itself, one that affirms what is right and productive in its past and recognizes what is challenging and difficult about its future. As Wayne Booth has observed, we cannot lament the condition of the humanities if we flee the responsibility of cultivating literacy where it is needed most.

.

- 1. The English Department, in consultation and cooperation with the College and University, should over a period of ten years undertake a program of gradual and steady growth, adding tenure-track and tenured lines until the number of its temporary teaching faculty (excluding Assistant Instructors) does not exceed (in FTE's) % of its tenured and tenure-seeking faculty.
 - a. Whenever possible, the new lines and positions should be added in areas not currently covered by the tenure-seeking and tenured faculty or in areas of staffing need at the upper-division and graduate levels.
 - b. The growth should be slow and managed. Budget-line goals should be established over a period of a decade. The department should present a plan for using the new lines to shape a department capable of meeting its substantial undergraduate teaching responsibilities with distinction while maintaining and advancing a wide-range of scholarly activities.
 - c. The department should, early in its period of growth, consider what modifications of its structure and government and what

Over the last decade, the structure and direction of the English Department at the University of Toxas at Austin have been subtantially altered by drops in graduate encollment, increases in lower-devision treaching responsibility, tonur-track declines in the number of tegetan faculty line, and substantial increases in the number of temporary faculty employed to meet degular departmental response commetments - most notably the trackey of lower division writing and literature courses. an many cases, the department and callege home fuct to rearted to enrollment and staffing cuises with menus that have soon became permanent deparmental fixture.

gladerate enrollment vose steadily, but unpredictably, bearing the department to administrate to scramble at the last numete to find teachers for the vitally comportant introductory writing and literature courses, the seems New admission instituted in 1982-83
Stundants promise appropriate in this Centennial year for evaluate future.

The English Department to the end of the content of the con current station staffing situation and to undertake measures that will, and it over the next decade, assure this unwersity
to attain
of the very highest standards of underglorderate English to maintain the integet of its graduate program, and promote research and scholarship of the fut rank, and to grant all fits faculty the assurance

of security and academic feedom
securities to their academic Missions. It the same time that it keyis t offers a new undergraduate program to the uneversity, the English Department must also seek statisty, a new direction for I self, one that program for it own development and affering report is right and productive in its part in second what is challenging and difficult about it future. As Wayne Booth has observed, we cannot lawent the condition of the humanities if we flee the responsibility of doing the cultivation literary even convergence to

level. Where it is needed most,

Now #

I the English Department recogniss the it professional obligation to hecturers it has hied to meet its teaching responsibilities. It seeks to recognise and reward good service, to emeasures professional growth, to any their accordance preofessional quality of undergraduate instruction, among these

New #

two catogories of Lecturers shall be recognized by the Exhib Department:

Lecturer I and hecturer II. Lecturer Is

Shall enjoy quaranteed reappointment

except where demonstrated centimized except

incompetence when a good cause budishing

Such as continued proposional

incompetence, is proven.

A Proposal on the Lecturer Situation

, over a period of ten years

1. The English Department, in consultation and cooperation with the College and University, should undertake a program of gradual and steady growth, adding tenure-track and, whenever possible, tenured lines until its reliance on temporary teaching staff is substantially reduced.

The English Department, in consultation and cooperation with the College and University, should undertake a program of gradual and steady and lines until its reliance on temporary teaching staff is substantially reduced.

The English Department, in consultation and cooperation with the College and University, should undertake a program of gradual and steady growth, adding tenure track and, whenever possible, tenured lines until its reliance on temporary teaching staff is substantially reduced.

The English Department, in consultation and cooperation with the College and University, should undertake a program of gradual and steady growth, adding tenure-track and, whenever possible, tenured lines until its reliance on temporary teaching staff is substantially reduced.

The English Department, in consultation and cooperation with the College and University, should undertake a program of gradual and steady growth, adding tenure-track and, whenever possible, tenured lines until its reliance on temporary teaching staff is substantially and the cooperation of the cooperat

a. Whenever possible, new lines and positions should be added in areas not currently covered by the tenure-seeking and tenured faculty or in areas of staffing need at the upper-division and graduate levels.

b. The growth should be slow and managed. Budget-line goals should be established over a period of a decade or more. The department should present a plan for using the new lines to shape a department capable of handling its substantial teaching needs while maintaining and advancing a wide-range of scholarly activities.

c. The department should, early in its period of growth, consider what modifications of its structure and government and what additions to its staff and resources are necessary to support first-rate teaching and scholarship.

Should seek answares that

d. The department and the college should modify its promotion and merit raise systems to reflect the increased lower-division teaching responsibilities and decreased graduate course opportunities likely to result from any substantial increase in the size of the tenured and tenure-track faculty.

Until such time as it can staff its full complement of required and elective courses with AI's and tenured and tenure-track faculty, the English Department shall continue to rely on the services provided by Lecturers.

- 3. Two categories of Lecturers shall be recognized by the English Department: Lecturer I and Lecturer II.
- 4. The Lecturer I category shall be composed of those lecturers who, by means of a substantial review of their credentials (teaching and, when applicable, service and publication) by the English Department Executive Committee or another body empowered to conduct such reviews, are shown to merit regular annual reappointment.
 - a. Members of this group shall be appointed regularly for as long as the English Department requires their service, provided that they continue to demonstrate professional competence.

 Except where good course for dismissal, such as continued professional in competence, is proven,

See #2 2.

- b. Lecturer II's may be considered for appointments as
 Lecturer I's after a minimum of six semesters service in
 the Lecturer II category. The EC or other empowered body
 shall determine which members of the Lecturer II category
 meeting the minimum service standard shall be considered
 for appointment to Lecturer I.
- c. All current "Super Lecturers" shall be considered Lecturer I's and shall enjoy first hiring priority within this category in all subsequent years.
- d. All current "Lecturers" with a minimum of six semesters service shall be considered for a Lecturer I position in order according to seniority. However, the EC or other empowered body shall not be required to render a full evaluation on every current lecturer eligible for a Lecturer II position when it is very clear that a full review of credentials is not likely to result in appointment to a Lecturer I position. Lecture II's with substantial prior leaching sprice esculus man be recommended for appointment to healing by the EC. In early appointment to healing I have the lecturer I rank shall be determined
- e. Hiring priority within the Lecturer I rank shall be determined by seniority within the rank. Lecturers appointed to this category in any given year shall have hiring priority over Lecturer I's appointed in subsequent years. Within a given appointment year, priority shall be determined by total semesters of teaching service in the UT English Department (excluding? including?
 - 1) While Lecturer I's shall continue to be evaluated in a manner consistent with their appointment, their individual hiring priority shall not be altered on the basis of such evaluations. provided that a Lecturer I demonstrates reasonable professional competence. Lecturer I's who do not demonstrate such competence shall be dismissed.
 - 2) Lecturer I's may take a leave of up to one full year without losing their hiring rank.
- f. Lecturer I's shall have hiring priority over all members of the Lecturer II category with the exception of five new U.T. Ph.D.s designated annually as Lecturer II's. (See #6 below).
- g. The English Department should negotiate with the College and University for an increase in funding and services in order to enable Lecturer I 's to enjoy travel and other professional benefits. People professional benefits including Secretarial support released time for program development, recluded feacher load for departmental service or feacher, service, trong, the formula to the service of teacher, and the services of the lecturer II category shall include, initially, all current

5. The Lec'turer II category shall include, initially, all current lecturers (excluding super lecturers) and all new lecturers hired in the future.

- a. All current members of this group with a minimum of six semesters teaching experience as a lecturer in the UT Department of English shall be ranked for hiring priority after five new UT Ph.D.'s (see #6 below) and the "Super Lecturers, but ahead of lecturers with less than six semesters experience and new applicants. This priority for Lecturer II's with six semesters or more of experience shall extend only through a transition period during which eligible Lecturer II's are given a preliminary review for Lecturer I appointments. During this transition period, Lecturer II's with a minimum of six semesters of experience shall be ranked for hiring within their group according to a procedure consistent with previous EC ranking procedures. Dascel Upon Veccent Teaching evaluations and Service."
- b. During the transition period, lecturers with less than six semesters of experience and new applicants (excluding five new UT Ph.D.s designated for special priority by the EC) shall be ranked for hiring within the group according to a procedure consistent with previous EC ranking procedures with the consideration given to the service of lecturers already hired. I consideration, however, will be given to the service of all ecturers.
- c. Following the transition period, Lecturer II's shall be hired after the five new UT Ph.D.s and all Lecturer I's.
 - 1) Within the group, Lecturer II's shall be ranked annually for hiring priority according to a review conducted by the EC, or other empowered body.
 - 2) Lecturer II's shall be ranked along with new applicants both from within and without UT.
 - 3) Lecturer II's shall be ranked according to student teaching evaluations, course evaluations performed by directors and associate directors of the freshman, sophomore, and E346 programs, departmental service, publications, etc.
 - 4) Lecturer II's reviewed, but rejected, for appointment to Lecturer I may continue as Lecturer II's and may be reconsidered for Lecturer I appointments.
- d. In years when departmental staffing needs can be satisfied by the five new UT Ph.D.s appointments and Lecturer I's, no Lecturer II's will be appointed.
- Op To

 6. Five lecturer positions will be reserved each year for new UT

 Ph.D.s in English. who have demonstrated continuing feaching excellence.
 - a. New Ph.D.s awarded these positions will enjoy hiring priority for one year only. In all respects except hiring priority, new Ph.D.s in this category will be considered as Lecturer II's. (see below.)

- b. New Ph.D.s awarded hiring priority in their first year of lecturer appointment may subsequently apply as Lecturer II's. with one year of service.
- c. New Ph.D.s not among the five awarded hiring priority may be considered for appointment as Lecturer II's.