July 14, 1990

The Austin American-Statesman 166 E Riverside Dr. Austin, TX

Dear Editor:

Enclosed is a statement dated July 14, 1990 for publication as a paid advertisement in The Austin American-Statesman.

It is important that the date be printed with the statement to inform readers that the advertisement reflects concerns and opinions formulated on the basis of information available as of July 14, 1990. Should circumstances change to invalidate any part of the statement, we would like to reserve the right to withdraw the ad within your customary time limits and with the usual financial penalties.

We make this request to be sure we do not misrepresent any facts or circumstances described within the advertisement.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

July 14, 1990

The Daily Texan 2500 Whitis Austin, TX 78705

Dear Editor:

Enclosed is a statement dated July 14, 1990 for publication as a paid advertisement in The Daily Texan.

It is important that the date be printed with the statement to inform readers that the advertisement reflects concerns and opinions formulated on the basis of information available as of July 14, 1990. Should circumstances change to invalidate any part of the statement, we would like to reserve the right to withdraw the ad within your customary time limits and with the usual financial penalties.

We make this request to be sure we do not misrepresent any facts or circumstances described within the advertisement.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

We regret the action of the English Department that transformed English 306 "Rhetoric and Composition" into a course on "Difference--Racism and Sexism."

Specifically, we are concerned that the new curriculum for Freshman English distorts the fundamental purpose of a composition class—to enhance a student's ability to write—by subordinating instruction in writing to the discussion of social issues and, potentially, to the advancement of specific political positions.

We are concerned that assistant instructors in E 306 may have little or no training to prepare them to teach the complex legal, sociological, psychological, and historical issues of racism and sexism at a college level or to judge the adequacy of student arguments on these matters.

We are concerned that the instructional "packet" for students may not include materials on logic and argumentation. Without such materials, students cannot know by what standards their papers are being judged or to what extent an instructor's political opinions might be influencing the evaluation of written work.

We are concerned that the altered E 306 class may be biased in its examination of controversial political questions. In a course on writing, we believe that freshmen should be exposed to a full spectrum of cogently argued positions, not to a single hegemonic view.

Consequently, we urge the English Department to reconsider its decision to turn the University's only required English composition class into a course on racism and sexism.

Howard Fixese Chamical Engineering VARCHESE

Whillip L. ASE EN

James R. Fair Che

James R. Fair Che

Joel W. Berlow Che

Joel W. Berlow Che

Joel W. Berlow Che

Joel W. Basterhoft

Joel W. Roman Che

John J. Market Chambelle D. M. Himmelbery

Laurence R. Mack

ASE EM

Kenneth M. Rallsmarth M. Rallsmart

2

A Statement of Academic Concern

We regret the action of the English Department that transformed English 306 "Rhetoric and Composition" into a course on "Difference--Racism and Sexism."

Specifically, we are concerned that the new curriculum for Freshman English distorts the fundamental purpose of a composition class—to enhance a student's ability to write—by subordinating instruction in writing to the discussion of social issues and, potentially, to the advancement of specific political positions.

We are concerned that assistant instructors in E 306 may have little or no training to prepare them to teach the complex legal, sociological, psychological, and historical issues of racism and sexism at a college level or to judge the adequacy of student arguments on these matters.

We are concerned that the instructional "packet" for students may not include materials on logic and argumentation. Without such materials, students cannot know by what standards their papers are being judged or to what extent an instructor's political opinions might be influencing the evaluation of written work.

We are concerned that the altered E 306 class may be biased in its examination of controversial political questions. In a course on writing, we believe that freshmen should be exposed to a full spectrum of cogently argued positions, not to a single hegemonic view.

Consequently, we urge the English Department to reconsider its decision to turn the University's only required English composition class into a course on racism and sexism.

Daniel B. Gracier, Prof. GSLIS Donald G. Drois

Daniel B. Gracier, Professor GSLIS W.B. Lokenbill

Ronald E. Wyllys Professor GSLIS Ronald E. Wyllys

Popels or GSLIS E. Gy Harmon

Professor GSLIS E. Gy Harmon

Evandente School of Whoray and Information Science

We regret the action of the English Department that transformed English 306 "Rhetoric and Composition" into a course on "Difference--Racism and Sexism."

Specifically, we are concerned that the new curriculum for Freshman English distorts the fundamental purpose of a composition class—to enhance a student's ability to write—by subordinating instruction in writing to the discussion of social issues and, potentially, to the advancement of specific political positions.

We are concerned that assistant instructors in E 306 may have little or no training to prepare them to teach the complex legal, sociological, psychological, and historical issues of racism and sexism at a college level or to judge the adequacy of student arguments on these matters.

We are concerned that the instructional "packet" for students may not include materials on logic and argumentation. Without such materials, students cannot know by what standards their papers are being judged or to what extent an instructor's political opinions might be influencing the evaluation of written work.

We are concerned that the altered E 306 class may be biased in its examination of controversial political questions. In a course on writing, we believe that freshmen should be exposed to a full spectrum of cogently argued positions, not to a single hegemonic view.

Consequently, we urge the English Department to reconsider its decision to turn the University's only required English composition class into a course on racism and sexism.

College of Pharmaco

Creed Abell

We regret the action of the English Department that transformed English 306 "Rhetoric and Composition" into a course on "Difference--Racism and Sexism."

Specifically, we are concerned that the new curriculum for Freshman English distorts the fundamental purpose of a composition class--to enhance a student's ability to write--by subordinating instruction in writing to the discussion of social issues and, potentially, to the advancement of specific political positions.

We are concerned that assistant instructors in E 306 may have little or no training to prepare them to teach the complex legal, sociological, psychological, and historical issues of racism and sexism at a college level or to judge the adequacy of student arguments on these matters.

We are concerned that the instructional "packet" for students may not include materials on logic and argumentation. Without such materials, students cannot know by what standards their papers are being judged or to what extent an instructor's political opinions might be influencing the evaluation of written work.

We are concerned that the altered E 306 class may be biased in its examination of controversial political questions. In a course on writing, we believe that freshmen should be exposed to a full spectrum of cogently argued positions, not to a single hegemonic view.

Consequently, we urge the English Department to reconsider its decision to turn the University's only required English composition class into a course on racism and sexism.

Morve (D. GLENN
NORVE (D. GLENN

We regret the action of the English Department that transformed English 306 "Rhetoric and Composition" into a course on "Difference--Racism and Sexism."

Specifically, we are concerned that the new curriculum for Freshman English distorts the fundamental purpose of a composition class -- to enhance a student's ability to write -- by subordinating instruction in writing to the discussion of social issues and, potentially, to the advancement of specific political positions.

We are concerned that assistant instructors in E 306 may have little or no training to prepare them to teach the complex legal, sociological, psychological, and historical issues of racism and sexism at a college level or to judge the adequacy of student arguments on these matters.

We are concerned that the instructional "packet" for students may not include materials on logic and argumentation. Without such materials, students cannot know by what standards their papers are being judged or to what extent an instructor's political opinions might be influencing the evaluation of written work.

We are concerned that the altered E 306 class may be biased in its examination of controversial political questions. In a course on writing, we believe that freshmen should be exposed to a full spectrum of cogently argued positions, not to a single hegemonic view.

Consequently, we urge the English Department to reconsider its decision to turn the University's only required English composition class into a course on racism and sexism.

Robert D. King (Linguistics, Asian Studies, Germanic Languages)

JOSEPH LOPREATO

We regret the action of the English Department that transformed English 306 "Rhetoric and Composition" into a course on "Difference--Racism and Sexism."

Specifically, we are concerned that the new curriculum for Freshman English distorts the fundamental purpose of a composition class—to enhance a student's ability to write—by subordinating instruction in writing to the discussion of social issues and, potentially, to the advancement of specific political positions.

We are concerned that assistant instructors in E 306 may have little or no training to prepare them to teach the complex legal, sociological, psychological, and historical issues of racism and sexism at a college level or to judge the adequacy of student arguments on these matters.

We are concerned that the instructional "packet" for students may not include materials on logic and argumentation. Without such materials, students cannot know by what standards their papers are being judged or to what extent an instructor's political opinions might be influencing the evaluation of written work.

We are concerned that the altered E 306 class may be biased in its examination of controversial political questions. In a course on writing, we believe that freshmen should be exposed to a full spectrum of cogently argued positions, not to a single hegemonic view.

Consequently, we urge the English Department to reconsider its decision to turn the University's only required English composition class into a course on racism and sexism.

I agree.

JAY D. BUDZICZEWSKI

ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR

GOVERNMENT DEPT.

U.T. / AUSTIN

We regret the action of the English Department that transformed English 306 "Rhetoric and Composition" into a course on "Difference--Racism and Sexism."

Specifically, we are concerned that the new curriculum for Freshman English distorts the fundamental purpose of a composition class—to enhance a student's ability to write—by subordinating instruction in writing to the discussion of social issues and, potentially, to the advancement of specific political positions.

We are concerned that assistant instructors in E 306 may have little or no training to prepare them to teach the complex legal, sociological, psychological, and historical issues of racism and sexism at a college level or to judge the adequacy of student arguments on these matters.

We are concerned that the instructional "packet" for students may not include materials on logic and argumentation. Without such materials, students cannot know by what standards their papers are being judged or to what extent an instructor's political opinions might be influencing the evaluation of written work.

We are concerned that the altered E 306 class may be biased in its examination of controversial political questions. In a course on writing, we believe that freshmen should be exposed to a full spectrum of cogently argued positions, not to a single hegemonic view.

Consequently, we urge the English Department to reconsider its decision to turn the University's only required English composition class into a course on racism and sexism.

Professor of Higher Education

Department of Educational Administration

1,

We regret the action of the English Department that transformed English 306 "Rhetoric and Composition" into a course on "Difference--Racism and Sexism."

Specifically, we are concerned that the new curriculum for Freshman English distorts the fundamental purpose of a composition class--to enhance a student's ability to write--by subordinating instruction in writing to the discussion of social issues and, potentially, to the advancement of specific political positions.

We are concerned that assistant instructors in E 306 may have little or no training to prepare them to teach the complex legal, sociological, psychological, and historical issues of racism and sexism at a college level or to judge the adequacy of student arguments on these matters.

We are concerned that the instructional "packet" for students may not include materials on logic and argumentation. Without such materials, students cannot know by what standards their papers are being judged or to what extent an instructor's political opinions might be influencing the evaluation of written work.

We are concerned that the altered E 306 class may be biased in its examination of controversial political questions. In a course on writing, we believe that freshmen should be exposed to a full spectrum of cogently argued positions, not to a single hegemonic view.

Consequently, we urge the English Department to reconsider its decision to turn the University's only required English composition class into a course on racism and sexism.

Randy L. Diehl

RANDY L. DIEHL
PSYCHOLOGY

We regret the action of the English Department that transformed English 306 "Rhetoric and Composition" into a course on "Difference--Racism and Sexism."

Specifically, we are concerned that the new curriculum for Freshman English distorts the fundamental purpose of a composition class--to enhance a student's ability to write--by subordinating instruction in writing to the discussion of social issues and, potentially, to the advancement of specific political positions.

We are concerned that assistant instructors in E 306 may have little or no training to prepare them to teach the complex legal, sociological, psychological, and historical issues of racism and sexism at a college level or to judge the adequacy of student arguments on these matters.

We are concerned that the instructional "packet" for students may not include materials on logic and argumentation. Without such materials, students cannot know by what standards their papers are being judged or to what extent an instructor's political opinions might be influencing the evaluation of written work.

We are concerned that the altered E 306 class may be biased in its examination of controversial political questions. In a course on writing, we believe that freshmen should be exposed to a full spectrum of cogently argued positions, not to a single hegemonic view.

Consequently, we urge the English Department to reconsider its decision to turn the University's only required English composition class into a course on racism and sexism.

James Duban Associate Professor of English Sury 10, 1990

10

A Statement of Academic Concern

We regret the action of the English Department that transformed English 306 "Rhetoric and Composition" into a course on "Difference--Racism and Sexism."

Specifically, we are concerned that the new curriculum for Freshman English distorts the fundamental purpose of a composition class—to enhance a student's ability to write—by subordinating instruction in writing to the discussion of social issues and, potentially, to the advancement of specific political positions.

We are concerned that assistant instructors in E 306 may have little or no training to prepare them to teach the complex legal, sociological, psychological, and historical issues of racism and sexism at a college level or to judge the adequacy of student arguments on these matters.

We are concerned that the instructional "packet" for students may not include materials on logic and argumentation. Without such materials, students cannot know by what standards their papers are being judged or to what extent an instructor's political opinions might be influencing the evaluation of written work.

We are concerned that the altered E 306 class may be biased in its examination of controversial political questions. In a course on writing, we believe that freshmen should be exposed to a full spectrum of cogently argued positions, not to a single hegemonic view.

Consequently, we urge the English Department to reconsider its decision to turn the University's only required English composition class into a course on racism and sexism.

Vaniel Sonevae

DANIEL BONEVAC PHILOSOPHY

We regret the action of the English Department that transformed English 306 "Rhetoric and Composition" into a course on "Difference--Racism and Sexism."

Specifically, we are concerned that the new curriculum for Freshman English distorts the fundamental purpose of a composition class—to enhance a student's ability to write—by subordinating instruction in writing to the discussion of social issues and, potentially, to the advancement of specific political positions.

We are concerned that assistant instructors in E 306 may have little or no training to prepare them to teach the complex legal, sociological, psychological, and historical issues of racism and sexism at a college level or to judge the adequacy of student arguments on these matters.

We are concerned that the instructional "packet" for students may not include materials on logic and argumentation. Without such materials, students cannot know by what standards their papers are being judged or to what extent an instructor's political opinions might be influencing the evaluation of written work.

We are concerned that the altered E 306 class may be biased in its examination of controversial political questions. In a course on writing, we believe that freshmen should be exposed to a full spectrum of cogently argued positions, not to a single hegemonic view.

Consequently, we urge the English Department to reconsider its decision to turn the University's only required English composition class into a course on racism and sexism.

/ .)

John W. Velz

Specifically, we are concerned that the new curriculum for Freshman English distorts the fundamental purpose of a composition class--to enhance a student's ability to write--by subordinating instruction in writing to the discussion of social issues and, potentially, to the advancement of specific political positions.

We are concerned that assistant instructors in E 306 may have little or no training to prepare them to teach the complex legal, sociological, psychological, and historical issues of racism and sexism at a college level or to judge the adequacy of student arguments on these matters.

We are concerned that the instructional "packet" for students may not include materials on logic and argumentation. Without such materials, students cannot know by what standards their papers are being judged or to what extent an instructor's political opinions might be influencing the evaluation of written work.

We are concerned that the altered E 306 class may be biased in its examination of controversial political questions. In a course on writing, we believe that freshmen should be exposed to a full spectrum of cogently argued positions, not to a single hegemonic view.

Consequently, we urge the English Department to reconsider its decision to turn the University's only required English composition class into a course on racism and sexism.

David Amstrong

KARL GALINSKY
DAVID ARMSTRONG

BOTH CLASSICS

We regret the action of the English Department that transformed English 306 "Rhetoric and Composition" into a course on "Difference--Racism and Sexism."

Specifically, we are concerned that the new curriculum for Freshman English distorts the fundamental purpose of a composition class—to enhance a student's ability to write—by subordinating instruction in writing to the discussion of social issues and, potentially, to the advancement of specific political positions.

We are concerned that assistant instructors in E 306 may have little or no training to prepare them to teach the complex legal, sociological, psychological, and historical issues of racism and sexism at a college level or to judge the adequacy of student arguments on these matters.

We are concerned that the instructional "packet" for students may not include materials on logic and argumentation. Without such materials, students cannot know by what standards their papers are being judged or to what extent an instructor's political opinions might be influencing the evaluation of written work.

We are concerned that the altered E 306 class may be biased in its examination of controversial political questions. In a course on writing, we believe that freshmen should be exposed to a full spectrum of cogently argued positions, not to a single hegemonic view.

Consequently, we urge the English Department to reconsider its decision to turn the University's only required English composition class into a course on racism and sexism.

Dept. Paychology)

Del Thiessen

We regret the action of the English Department of the University of Texas at Austin that transformed English 306 "Rhetoric and Composition" into a course on "Difference--Racism and Sexism."

Specifically, we are concerned that the new curriculum for Freshman English distorts the fundamental purpose of a composition class—to enhance a student's ability to write—by subordinating instruction in writing to the discussion of social issues and, potentially, to the advancement of specific political positions.

We are concerned that assistant instructors in E 306 may have little or no training to prepare them to teach the complex legal, sociological, psychological, and historical issues of racism and sexism at a college level or to judge the adequacy of student arguments on these matters.

We are concerned that the instructional "packet" for students may not include materials on logic and argumentation. Without such materials, students cannot know by what standards their papers are being judged or to what extent an instructor's political opinions might be influencing the evaluation of written work.

We are concerned that the altered E 306 class may be biased in its examination of controversial political questions. In a course on writing, we believe that freshmen should be exposed to a full spectrum of cogently argued positions, not to a single hegemonic view.

Consequently, we urge the English Department to reconsider its decision to turn the University's only required English composition class into a course on racism and sexism.

July 14, 1990

John Roszkieur Entit

Maxine Haiston

KH

A Statement of Academic Concern

We regret the action of the English Department of the University of Texas at Austin that transformed English 306 "Rhetoric and Composition" into a course on "Difference--Racism and Sexism."

Specifically, we are concerned that the new curriculum for Freshman English distorts the fundamental purpose of a composition class—to enhance a student's ability to write—by subordinating instruction in writing to the discussion of social issues and, potentially, to the advancement of specific political positions.

We are concerned that assistant instructors in E 306 may have little or no training to prepare them to teach the complex legal, sociological, psychological, and historical issues of racism and sexism at a college level or to judge the adequacy of student arguments on these matters.

We are concerned that the instructional "packet" for students may not include materials on logic and argumentation. Without such materials, students cannot know by what standards their papers are being judged or to what extent an instructor's political opinions might be influencing the evaluation of written work.

We are concerned that the altered E 306 class may be biased in its examination of controversial political questions. In a course on writing, we believe that freshmen should be exposed to a full spectrum of cogently argued positions, not to a single hegemonic view.

Consequently, we urge the English Department to reconsider its decision to turn the University's only required English composition class into a course on racism and sexism.

July 14, 1990

~ 2)

JAN BRUELL

We regret the action of the English Department that transformed English 306 "Rhetoric and Composition" into a course on "Difference--Racism and Sexism."

Specifically, we are concerned that the new curriculum for Freshman English distorts the fundamental purpose of a composition class -- to enhance a student's ability to write -- by subordinating instruction in writing to the discussion of social issues and, potentially, to the advancement of specific political positions.

We are concerned that assistant instructors in E 306 may have little or no training to prepare them to teach the complex legal, sociological, psychological, and historical issues of racism and sexism at a college level or to judge the adequacy of student arguments on these matters.

We are concerned that the instructional "packet" for students may not include materials on logic and argumentation. Without such materials, students cannot know by what standards their papers are being judged or to what extent an instructor's political opinions might be influencing the evaluation of written work.

We are concerned that the altered E 306 class may be biased in its examination of controversial political questions. In a course on writing, we believe that freshmen should be exposed to a full spectrum of cogently argued positions, not to a single hegemonic view.

Consequently, we urge the English Department to reconsider its decision to turn the University's only required English composition class into a course on racism and sexism.

ALL PSY

LESLIE B COHEN

MICHAEL DOMJAN MICHAEL J TELCH

JOHN C LOEHLIN

LEE WILLERMAN

CLARKE A BURNHAM

DAVID A COHEN

We regret the action of the English Department that transformed English 306 "Rhetoric and Composition" into a course on "Difference--Racism and Sexism."

Specifically, we are concerned that the new curriculum for Freshman English distorts the fundamental purpose of a composition class -- to enhance a student's ability to write -- by subordinating instruction in writing to the discussion of social issues and, potentially, to the advancement of specific political positions.

We are concerned that assistant instructors in E 306 may have little or no training to prepare them to teach the complex legal, sociological, psychological, and historical issues of racism and sexism at a college level or to judge the adequacy of student arguments on these matters.

We are concerned that the instructional "packet" for students may not include materials on logic and argumentation. Without such materials, students cannot know by what standards their papers are being judged or to what extent an instructor's political opinions might be influencing the evaluation of written work.

We are concerned that the altered E 306 class may be biased in its examination of controversial political questions. In a course on writing, we believe that freshmen should be exposed to a full spectrum of cogently argued positions, not to a single hegemonic view.

Consequently, we urge the English Department to reconsider its decision to turn the University's only required English composition class into a course on racism and sexism.

all PSY

MARC LEWIS

GERALD METALSKY

We regret the action of the English Department that transformed English 306 "Rhetoric and Composition" into a course on "Difference--Racism and Sexism."

Specifically, we are concerned that the new curriculum for Freshman English distorts the fundamental purpose of a composition class--to enhance a student's ability to write--by subordinating instruction in writing to the discussion of social issues and, potentially, to the advancement of specific political positions.

We are concerned that assistant instructors in E 306 may have little or no training to prepare them to teach the complex legal, sociological, psychological, and historical issues of racism and sexism at a college level or to judge the adequacy of student arguments on these matters.

We are concerned that the instructional "packet" for students may not include materials on logic and argumentation. Without such materials, students cannot know by what standards their papers are being judged or to what extent an instructor's political opinions might be influencing the evaluation of written work.

We are concerned that the altered E 306 class may be biased in its examination of controversial political questions. In a course on writing, we believe that freshmen should be exposed to a full spectrum of cogently argued positions, not to a single hegemonic view.

Consequently, we urge the English Department to reconsider its decision to turn the University's only required English composition class into a course on racism and sexism.

Robert K YOUNG

(Psychology) WILSON S GEISLER GEORGE W HOLDEN

We regret the action of the English Department of the University of Texas at Austin that transformed English 306 "Rhetoric and Composition" into a course on "Difference--Racism and Sexism."

Specifically, we are concerned that the new curriculum for Freshman English distorts the fundamental purpose of a composition class--to enhance a student's ability to write--by subordinating instruction in writing to the discussion of social issues and, potentially, to the advancement of specific political positions.

We are concerned that assistant instructors in E 306 may have little or no training to prepare them to teach the complex legal, sociological, psychological, and historical issues of racism and sexism at a college level or to judge the adequacy of student arguments on these matters.

We are concerned that the instructional "packet" for students may not include materials on logic and argumentation. Without such materials, students cannot know by what standards their papers are being judged or to what extent an instructor's political opinions might be influencing the evaluation of written work.

We are concerned that the altered E 306 class may be biased in its examination of controversial political questions. In a course on writing, we believe that freshmen should be exposed to a full spectrum of cogently argued positions, not to a single hegemonic view.

Consequently, we urge the English Department to reconsider its decision to turn the University's only required English composition class into a course on racism and sexism.

July 14, 1990

2) Thomas Cable
TAMES M ENELOW GOVERNMENT

We regret the action of the English Department of the University of Texas at Austin that transformed English 306 "Rhetoric and Composition" into a course on "Difference--Racism and Sexism."

Specifically, we are concerned that the new curriculum for Freshman English distorts the fundamental purpose of a composition class—to enhance a student's ability to write—by subordinating instruction in writing to the discussion of social issues and, potentially, to the advancement of specific political positions.

We are concerned that assistant instructors in E 306 may have little or no training to prepare them to teach the complex legal, sociological, psychological, and historical issues of racism and sexism at a college level or to judge the adequacy of student arguments on these matters.

We are concerned that the instructional "packet" for students may not include materials on logic and argumentation. Without such materials, students cannot know by what standards their papers are being judged or to what extent an instructor's political opinions might be influencing the evaluation of written work.

We are concerned that the altered E 306 class may be biased in its examination of controversial political questions. In a course on writing, we believe that freshmen should be exposed to a full spectrum of cogently argued positions, not to a single hegemonic view.

Consequently, we urge the English Department to reconsider its decision to turn the University's only required English composition class into a course on racism and sexism.

We regret the action of the English Department of the University of Texas at Austin that transformed English 306 "Rhetoric and Composition" into a course on "Difference--Racism and Sexism."

Specifically, we are concerned that the new curriculum for Freshman English distorts the fundamental purpose of a composition class—to enhance a student's ability to write—by subordinating instruction in writing to the discussion of social issues and, potentially, to the advancement of specific political positions.

Asymptotic of the second second

We are concerned that assistant instructors in E 306 may have little or no training to prepare them to teach the complex legal, sociological, psychological, and historical issues of racism and sexism at a college level or to judge the adequacy of student arguments on these matters.

We are concerned that the instructional "packet" for students may not include materials on logic and argumentation. Without such materials, students cannot know by what standards their papers are being judged or to what extent an instructor's political opinions might be influencing the evaluation of written work.

We are concerned that the altered E 306 class may be biased in its examination of controversial political questions. In a course on writing, we believe that freshmen should be exposed to a full spectrum of cogently argued positions, not to a single hegemonic view.

Consequently, we urge the English Department to reconsider its decision to turn the University's only required English composition class into a course on racism and sexism.

We regret the action of the English Department of the University of Texas at Austin that transformed English 306 "Rhetoric and Composition" into a course on "Difference--Racism and Sexism."

Specifically, we are concerned that the new curriculum for Freshman English distorts the fundamental purpose of a composition class—to enhance a student's ability to write—by subordinating instruction in writing to the discussion of social issues and, potentially, to the advancement of specific political positions.

We are concerned that assistant instructors in E 306 may have little or no training to prepare them to teach the complex legal, sociological, psychological, and historical issues of racism and sexism at a college level or to judge the adequacy of student arguments on these matters.

We are concerned that the instructional "packet" for students may not include materials on logic and argumentation. Without such materials, students cannot know by what standards their papers are being judged or to what extent an instructor's political opinions might be influencing the evaluation of written work.

We are concerned that the altered E 306 class may be biased in its examination of controversial political questions. In a course on writing, we believe that freshmen should be exposed to a full spectrum of cogently argued positions, not to a single hegemonic view.

Consequently, we urge the English Department to reconsider its decision to turn the University's only required English composition class into a course on racism and sexism.

We regret the action of the English Department of the University of Texas at Austin that transformed English 306 "Rhetoric and Composition" into a course on "Difference--Racism and Sexism."

Specifically, we are concerned that the new curriculum for Freshman English distorts the fundamental purpose of a composition class—to enhance a student's ability to write—by subordinating instruction in writing to the discussion of social issues and, potentially, to the advancement of specific political positions.

We are concerned that assistant instructors in E 306 may have little or no training to prepare them to teach the complex legal, sociological, psychological, and historical issues of racism and sexism at a college level or to judge the adequacy of student arguments on these matters.

We are concerned that the instructional "packet" for students may not include materials on logic and argumentation. Without such materials, students cannot know by what standards their papers are being judged or to what extent an instructor's political opinions might be influencing the evaluation of written work.

We are concerned that the altered E 306 class may be biased in its examination of controversial political questions. In a course on writing, we believe that freshmen should be exposed to a full spectrum of cogently argued positions, not to a single hegemonic view.

Consequently, we urge the English Department to reconsider its decision to turn the University's only required English composition class into a course on racism and sexism.